

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,565	01/25/2008	Houjin Huang	09792909-6573	2036
26263 SNR DENTO!	7590 02/08/201 N I I S I I P	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061080 CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080			EVANS, GEOFFREY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/08/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	117	
10/564,565	HUANG ET AL.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Examiner	Art Unit	
Geoffrey S. Evans	3742	

	Geoffrey S. Evans	3742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the mourement shatulory period with the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing and the provision of the provision	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Di</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3 Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
· _ /					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-15 is/are withdrawr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	(PTO-413)			

) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Applicat 		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060113.	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/564,565 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 8-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 2 December

2010.

- 2. Regarding the Information Disclosure Statement of 13 January 2006, the non-patent literature documents have not been considered since a publication date (including month and year) has not been supplied with these documents as required by 37 CFR 1.98(b)(5). Please note that the instant application does make of record the article by Huang et al. of "Large-Scale rooted growth of aligned super bundles of single-walled carbon nanotubes using a direct arc plasma method".
- 3. The drawings are objected to because in figure 1 the battery or direct current source (not identified by a numeral) should be reversed (as shown by element 12 in figure 1 of Inoue in U.S. Patent No. 4,504,721) since electrode 3 is an anode (negatively charged) and electrode 2 is a cathode (positively charged). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief

Art Unit: 3742

description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Huang et al. in the article "Large-scale rooted growth of aligned super bundles of single-walled carbon nanotubes using a directed arc plasma method". Huang et al. discloses that single walled carbon nanotubes can be grown using a device where a catalyst is positioned within the interior surface of a ball shaped first electrode (cathode, see figure 1(a)) and a rod shaped second electrode (see page 8, column 2) that is positioned such that its tip lies within the void, and an arc discharge occurs (see page 8, column 1, line 17). Regarding claims 4 and 5, on page 9, column 2, first full paragraph it is disclosed that the atmosphere wherein the arc discharge occurs is a reduced pressure atmosphere (680 Torr) consisting of a very pure helium gas. Regarding claim 7, Huang

Application/Control Number: 10/564,565

Art Unit: 3742

et al. disclose that rope shaped carbon nanotubes grow at the rate of 3 to 4 cm/minute when the pressure is 680 Torr, and they reach 20 cm in about 20 minutes (see column 9. second column).

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang et al. in the article "Large-scale growth of aligned super bundles of single-walled carbon nanotubes using a directed arc plasma method" in view of Moravsky et al. in WO 02/30816. Moravsky et al. teach using arc discharges to form double walled carbon nanotubes and further that double walled carbon nanotubes are preferred to single walled carbon nanotubes due to their being stiffer (see page 8, lines10-11). It would have been obvious to adapt Huang in view of Moravsky to provide this to make double walled carbon nanotubes due to their increased stiffness.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S. Evans whose telephone number is (571)-272-1174. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:00AM to 3:30 PM (flexible).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on (571)-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,565 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Geoffrey S Evans/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3742